|          | Case 1:23-cv-00315-JLT-SKO Documer  | nt 14 Filed 02/27/24 Page 1 of 1   |
|----------|---|--|
| 1        |   |  |
| 2        |   |  |
| 3        |   |  |
| 4        |   |  |
| 5        |   |  |
| 6        |   |  |
| 7        |   |  |
| 8        | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  |  |
| 9        | FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  |  |
| 10       |   |  |
| 11       | DANIEL STORM,   | No. 1:23-cv-00315-NODJ-SKO   |
| 12       | Plaintiff,  |  |
| 13       | V.  | ORDER DIRECTING CLERK TO ASSIGN A DISTRICT JUDGE TO THIS MATTER AND CLOSE THE CASE |
| 14       | ARAMARK MANAGEMENT<br>SERVICES LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, et  |  |
| 15       | al.,  | (Doc. 13)  |
| 16       | Defendants.   |  |
| 17       |   |  |
| 18<br>19 | On Fahmany 22, 2024, the neutice f  | iled a joint atimulation diamissing the action with                                |
| 20       | On February 23, 2024, the parties filed a joint stipulation dismissing the action with prejudice. (Doc. 13.) In light of the parties' stipulation, this action has been terminated, <i>see</i> Fed. |  |
| 21       | R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1)(A)(ii); <i>Wilson v. City of San Jose</i> , 111 F.3d 688, 692 (9th Cir. 1997), and has  |  |
| 22       | been dismissed with prejudice. Accordingly, the Clerk of Court is directed to assign a district judge   |  |
| 23       | to this matter and thereafter close this case.  |  |
| 24       | to this matter and increased cross this case.   |  |
| 25       | IT IS SO ORDERED.   |  |
| 26       | Dated: <b>February 26, 2024</b>   | /s/ Sheila K. Oberto   |
| 27       | - · · <del></del>   | UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE   |
| 28       |   |  |
|          |   |  |